# **BPB Appeal No. A1301**

the Building Act 2004 (the Act) **IN THE MATTER OF** 

**AND** 

an Appeal to the Building **IN THE MATTER OF** 

Practitioners Board under Section 330(1)(a) by [Omitted] against a decision of the

Registrar

## **DECISION OF THE BUILDING PRACTITIONERS BOARD**

Date and location of hearing:	21 February 2018 - Auckland
Appeal heard by:	Chris Preston Bob Monteith Robin Dunlop Faye Pearson-Green
Appearances by:	[Omitted]

#### 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The Appellant of [Omitted] applied for a Design Licence (Area of Practice (AOP) 2) Licence under s287 of the Act and the Licensed Building Practitioners Rules 2007<sup>1</sup> ("the Rules"). The Design AOP 2 Licence was declined but he was granted a Design AOP 1 Licence.
- 1.2 The Registrar of Licensed Building Practitioners ("the Registrar") declined the Appellant's application and notified his decision by letter dated 2 November 2017. Notification of the decision included a notice of the right to appeal the decision to the Building Practitioners Board ("the Board").
- 1.3 On 30 November 2017 the Appellant lodged an appeal to the Board against the Registrar's decision.

### 2.0 Licensing scheme

- 2.1 To become licensed, a person must satisfy the Registrar that they can meet all the applicable minimum standards for licensing.<sup>2</sup> The minimum standards are set out as "Competencies" in Schedule 1 of the Rules. In determining whether a person meets a Competency, regard must be given to the extent to which the person meets the Performance Indicators set out for that competency in Schedule1<sup>3</sup>.
- 2.2 Where the Registrar declines an application the applicant has a right of appeal to the Board <sup>4</sup>

### 3.0 Scope of the appeal

- 3.1 An appeal proceeds by way of rehearing<sup>5</sup>. However, the Board will not review matters outside the scope of the appeal<sup>6</sup>.
- 3.2 The appeal seeks the following relief:

The grant of a Design Licence (AOP 2)

3.3 In light of s335(4) and the Registrar's decision letter, the Board interprets its inquiry as being restricted to consideration of Competencies 1 & 5 for a Design AOP 2 Licence.

## **Design Licence Competencies:**

Competency 1: Comprehend and apply knowledge of the regulatory

environment of the building construction industry.

Competency 5: Manage construction phase.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Incorporating amendments for 2008, 2009 and 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>S286 of the Act and rule 4 of the Rules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Clause 4(2) of the Rules

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>S330(1)(a) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>S335(2) of the Act

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>S335(4) of the Act

### 4.0 Registrar's report

- 4.1 The Registrar's decision to grant or decline a licence is informed by an assessor's recommendation<sup>7</sup>. The Board's Appeals Procedures require the Registrar to provide a report which includes all evidence used to reach the decision, including the assessors' recommendation.
- 4.2 The Registrar's report notes, at paragraph **18**, the following from the Assessor's recommendations:
  - The Appellant presented three good projects and provided further information as requested.
  - The Appellants knowledge was light on contracts and contract administration.
  - The Appellants knowledge was also lacking in a few areas.

### 4.3 The Registrar concluded:

"The basis for the Registrar's decision to decline the application

- I have been delegated under S312 (1) to review the assessment report and make a decision about the Appellant's application.
- I reviewed the assessor's report and the Appellant's application.
- I based my decision on the assessor's recommendation, for the reasons set out above. I did not consider that there was sufficient reason or concern to overrule the assessor's recommendation."

## 5.0 Appellant's Submissions

- 5.1 The Appellant included with his appeal
  - 5.1.1 A written submission expanding on his knowledge of competencies 1 & 5.
  - 5.1.2 Three project examples.

#### 6.0 Board's consideration

The Board noted that the Registrar was satisfied that the Appellant met the following Competencies 2, 3 & 4 for the Design licence:

#### **Design Licence Competencies:**

Competency 2: Manage the building design process

Competency 3: Establish design briefs and scope of work and prepare

preliminary design

Competency 4: Develop design and produce construction drawings and

documentation.

<sup>7</sup> clause 10 and 11of the Rules

- 6.2 The Board then considered Competencies 1 & 5 for a Design AOP 2 Licence. These Competencies can be demonstrated by meeting some or all of the performance indicators as listed in Schedule 1 of the Rules.
- 6.3 The LBP scheme is competency based, and it is up to the practitioner to demonstrate their competency.

### Board's findings

- 6.4 The Board concluded that the Appellant **failed to provide** evidence to demonstrate that he met sufficient Performance Indicators to satisfy the requirements of Competency 5 for a Design AOP 2 Licence.
- 6.5 The Board considered that the Appellants knowledge of Competency 5 in regards to construction management, post design stage, is insufficient. The appellant is encouraged to better understand the competencies required to meet Competency 5.

#### 7.0 Board's Decision

7.1 Pursuant to s335(3) of the Act, the Board has resolved to uphold the Registrar's decision not to license [Omitted] with a Design AOP 2 Licence.

#### 8.0 Publication of Name

- 8.1 Pursuant to s339 of the Act, the Board may prohibit the publication of the Appellant's name and/or particulars.
- 8.2 The Board, having considered the circumstances of this appeal, directs that the name and the particulars of the Appellant **are not** to be made public.

Signed and dated this 21st day of February 2018

Chris Preston (Presiding Member)

## **Advice Note (not part of Board's Decision)**

Extracts from the Act:

# "330 Right of Appeal

- (1) A person may appeal to the Board against any decision of the Registrar to–
  - (a) decline to licence the person as a building practitioner;

...

- (2) A person may appeal to a District Court against any decision of the Board—
  - (a) made by it on an appeal brought under subsection (1);

. . .

## 331 Time in which appeal must be brought

An appeal must be lodged-

- (a) within 20 working days after notice of the decision or action is communicated to the appellant; or
- (b) within any further time that the appeal authority allows on application made before or after the period expires."