## **Before the Building Practitioners Board**

Appeal No. A1390

Between: [OMITTED] (the Appellant)

And: The Registrar

In the matter of:

An appeal against a decision of the Registrar

of Licensed Building Practitioners to decline a

Site AoP 3 Licence.

## Decision of the Board under section 330(1)(a) of the Building Act 2004

Hearing Location Auckland

Hearing Type: In Person

Hearing Date: 4 September 2023

Decision Date: 4 September 2023

**Board Members Present:** 

Mrs F Pearson-Green, Deputy Chair, LBP, Design AOP 2 (Presiding)

Mr G Anderson, LBP, Carpentry and Site AoP 2

Mr P Thompson, LBP, Carpentry, Quantity Surveyor

### **Procedure:**

The matter was considered by the Building Practitioners Board (the Board) under the provisions of Part 4 of the Building Act 2004 (the Act), the Licensed Building Practitioners Rules 2007 (the Rules) and the Board's Procedures for the Management of Appeals (the Appeal Procedures).

#### **Decision:**

The Board has decided, under section 335 of the Act, to confirm the decision appealed against and **not** grant a Site AoP3 licence.

#### **Contents**

Summary of the Board's Appeal Decision	2
The Board	2
Procedure on Appeal	2
The Registrar's Decision	2
The Appeal	3
The Hearing	3
The Purposes of the Licensing Regime	4
Board's Conclusion and Reasoning	5
Board's Order	6
Costs and Publication	6
Costs	6
Right of Appeal	7

## **Summary of the Board's Appeal Decision**

[1] The Registrar's decision is affirmed. The Appellant is **not** granted a Site AoP3 Licence.

### The Board

[2] The Board is a statutory body established under the Building Act.<sup>1</sup> Its functions include hearing appeals against certain decisions of the Registrar in accordance with subpart 2 of Part 4 of the Act.

### **Procedure on Appeal**

[3] Under section 335 of the Act, an appeal proceeds by way of rehearing. On hearing an appeal, the Board may confirm, reverse, or modify the decision or action appealed against. The Board may also make any other decision or take any other action that the decision maker (the Registrar) could have made. The Board cannot review any part of a decision or action not appealed against.

### The Registrar's Decision

- [4] To become licensed, an applicant person must satisfy the Registrar that they meet the applicable minimum standard for the class or classes of licence applied for. <sup>2</sup>The minimum standard is prescribed by rule 4 of the Rules. It states:
  - 4 MINIMUM STANDARD OF COMPETENCE FOR EACH CLASS OF LICENCE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 341 of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Refer rule 9(1)(a) of the Rules

- (1) The minimum standard of competence for a class of licence is meeting all of the competencies set out for that class of licence in Schedule 1.
- (2) In determining whether a person meets a competency, regard must be had to the extent to which the person meets the performance indicators set out for that competency in Schedule 1.
- [5] Under rule 12 of the Rules, the Registrar must make a decision on the application. The Registrar's decision to grant or decline a licence must be informed by an assessor's recommendation.<sup>3</sup>
- [6] On 18 July 2023, the Registrar informed the Appellant that his application had been declined. The Appellant was informed of his right to appeal the decision.

### The Appeal

- [7] On 21 July 2023, the Appellant filed an appeal with the Board against the Registrar's decision.
- [8] The Board's Appeals Procedures stipulate that the Registrar is to provide a report that includes all evidence used to reach the decision, including the assessors' recommendation (the Report). The Report noted the Appellant had failed to demonstrate the following competencies for the class of license applied for:
  - (a) Competency 3: Organise and manage building projects
  - (b) Competency 4: Manage personnel
  - (c) Competency 5: Provide technical supervision
- [9] Under section 335(4) of the Act, the Board must not review any part of a decision or action not appealed against. On that basis, and on the basis of the Report, the matters under appeal were those noted above.
- [10] The burden of proof lies with the Appellant. It is for the Appellant to provide sufficient evidence to establish, on the balance of probabilities, that he meets sufficient of the performance indicators for those competencies that are being appealed to be granted a licence. If the Registrar appears at an appeal hearing,<sup>4</sup> the matter proceeds using an adversarial process.
- [11] The Registrar did not seek leave to appear.

#### The Hearing

[12] Prior to the hearing, the Appellant provided further evidence to support the appeal.

This included detail copy of his New Zealand Institute of Building Inc. Member

Certificate, Site Safe Supervisor Training Certificate along with certified translated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rules 10 and 11 of the Rules

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Registrar may seek leave to appear and be heard under clause 2.9.16(a) of the appeal procedures either in person or by legal counsel.

- statement from the Chinese Government's website showing the Appellant was awarded for his roles as Project Manager in 2007.
- [13] The Appellant also submitted a previous Licensing Appeal Decision A1373, dated 20 October 2022, along with other duplicated submitted documents.
- [14] The Appellant was assisted at the hearing by an interpreter.
- [15] At the hearing, the Appellant made an opening submission in which he summarised his work experience and qualifications gained in China prior to arriving in New Zealand in 2013. The Appellant went on to detail the qualifications he has achieved since arriving in New Zealand, a Bachelor of Quantity Surveying and a Bachelor of Construction (Construction Management) and the building experience he has gained working in the construction industry since graduating in 2020.
- [16] The Board questioned the Respondent as regards the performance indicators in Schedule 1 of the Rules for those competencies that were appealed.
- [17] The Board provided a mock scenario to the Appellant, supported by a photograph of a hill house to be demolished and a cross-section of a proposed dwelling to be constructed. The Board used this to question the Appellant on-site set-up and management, construction management and monitoring, and potential issues (including directing technical supervision personnel) through to completion and the appropriate documentation to confirm compliance and achieve code compliance certificate (CCC).
- [18] In addition, the Board tested the Appellant's knowledge of matters, such as minor variation changes to a building consent, producer statements, records of work and restricted building work carried out by licensed building practitioners.

### The Purposes of the Licensing Regime

- [19] The Building (Definition of Restricted Building Work) Order 2011 (New Zealand) stipulates that design work and building work on the primary structure and external moisture-management system of a house or a small-to-medium apartment building is restricted building work. Restricted building work applies to work that is carried out or supervised under a building consent<sup>5</sup>.
- [20] Under section 84 of the Act:

All restricted building work must be carried out or supervised by a licensed building practitioner [who is licensed] to carry out or supervise the work.

[21] Given the above provisions, a person who wishes to carry out or supervise restricted building work, other than as an owner builder, must be licensed.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Refer section 401B of the Act.

[22] The introduction of the licensed building practitioner regime was aimed at improving the skills and knowledge of those involved in residential construction. The following was stated as the intention to the enabling legislation<sup>6</sup>:

The Government's goal is a more efficient and productive sector that stands behind the quality of its work; a sector with the necessary skills and capability to build it right first time and that takes prides in its work; a sector that delivers good-quality, affordable homes and buildings and contributes to a prosperous economy; a well-informed sector that shares information and quickly identifies and corrects problems; and a sector where everyone involved in building work knows what they are accountable for and what they rely on others for.

[23] And, as was noted by the responsible Minister during the first reading of the legislative provisions that established it:<sup>7</sup>

A robust licensing scheme with a critical mass of licensed builders means consumers can have confidence that their homes will be built right first time.

[24] Within that context, it is important that the competence of those who seek to be licensed is thoroughly assessed so as to ensure that the legislative purposes of licensing are advanced, consumers are protected, and buildings are designed and constructed in a manner that makes them safe and healthy.

### **Board's Conclusion and Reasoning**

- [25] On the basis of the evidence received and having taken into consideration the purposes of the licensing regime, the Board has decided that it will confirm the decision appealed against
- [26] In making its decision, the Board noted the Appellant had a basic level overall of knowledge across the competencies 3, 4 and 5. However, his knowledge did have gaps and lacked depth in many of the areas of construction that the Board questioned the Appellant on.
- [27] The Board was concerned that the Appellant did not have an adequate understanding of Building Consents, Building Consent Conditions and Resource Consents. The Appellant was not able to explain where to find in the consent documentation other inspections and conditions other than the council inspections listed in the consent inspection booklet.
- [28] The Appellant did not fully understand the process and order sequence required to establish a construction site. The Appellant referenced Site-Specific Safety Plan (SSSP) but lacked the understanding of what is and what it is used for.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Hansard volume 669: Page 16053

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Hansard volume 669: Page 16053

- [29] The Appellant struggled often to explain in greater depth aspects of the construction process. The Appellant appeared to lack experience and on-site exposure to many areas of construction discussed. The Appellant was unable to explain in detail the steps, the construction sequencing from excavation through to compliance in great detail. He struggled to explain the carpentry trade and demonstrate his level of knowledge to be able to provide technical support and supervise.
- [30] The Appellant was unable to accurately explain a record of work and by law who is required to supply a record of work on completion of restricted building work. The Appellant appeared unsure if a licensed building practitioner who holds a site licence should supply a record of work when in the role of Project or Site Manager. The Appellant could not demonstrate a clear understanding of producer statements, PS1, PS3 and PS4.
- [31] The Appellant had limited knowledge of the compliance requirements for code compliance certificate (CCC) and final signing off of a contract.
- [32] The Board recommends that the Appellant focuses on obtaining more on-site experience under supervision across all areas of the construction process. In addition, the Board recommends that the Appellant gain a greater depth of knowledge in all the areas of competencies of a site licence before reapplying.

#### **Board's Order**

[33] Pursuant to s335(3) of the Act, the Board confirms the Registrar's decision appealed against.

## **Costs and Publication**

## Costs

- [34] Under section 338(4) of the Act the Board may order any party to the appeal to pay to any other party to the appeal any or all of the costs incurred by the other party in respect of the appeal.
- [35] The Board has adopted the approach taken by the District Court to costs on appeal.
- [36] Based on the above, the Board's costs order is that neither party is to pay costs to the other party.

## **Publication**

[37] Section 339 of the Act provides:

## 339 Orders as to publication of names

- (1) On an appeal under this subpart, the appeal authority may, if in its opinion it is proper to do so, prohibit the publication of the name or particulars of the affairs of a licensed building practitioner or any other person.
- (2) In deciding whether to make an order under subsection (1), the appeal authority must have regard to—

- (a) the interests of any person (including, without limitation, the privacy of any complainant); and
- (b) the public interest.
- (3) If the appeal authority prohibits the publication of the name or particulars of the affairs of a licensed building practitioner, the Registrar must remove the name or particulars of the affairs of that licensed building practitioner from the register in relation to the matter under appeal to the extent necessary to reflect the appeal authority's prohibition on publication.
- [38] Based on the above, the Board will not order further publication.

## **Right of Appeal**

[39] The right to appeal a Board decision of this type is provided for in section 330(2) of the Act<sup>i</sup>.

Signed and dated this 21st day of September 2023

Med

Mrs F Pearson-Green

**Presiding Member** 

# Section 331 Time in which appeal must be brought

An appeal must be lodged—

Section 330 Right of appeal

<sup>(2)</sup> A person may appeal to a District Court against any decision of the Board—

<sup>(</sup>a) made by it on an appeal brought under subsection (1)

<sup>(</sup>a) within 20 working days after notice of the decision or action is communicated to the appellant; or

<sup>(</sup>b) within any further time that the appeal authority allows on application made before or after the period expires.