Before the Building Practitioners Board At [omitted]

BPB Complaint No. C2-01124

Under the Building Act 2004 (the Act)

IN THE MATTER OF A complaint to the Building Practitioners'

Board under section 315

AGAINST [Omitted], Licensed Building Practitioner No.

BP [omitted]

DECISION OF THE BUILDING PRACTITIONERS' BOARD IN RESPECT OF PENALTY, COSTS AND PUBLICATION OF NAME

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This decision arises out of a decision by the Building Practitioners Board ("the Board") where the Board found that the Licensed Building Practitioner ("the Respondent"):
 - (a) had failed, without good reason, in respect of a building consent that relates to restricted building work that he carried out, to provide the persons specified in section 88(2) with a record of work, on completion of the restricted building work, in accordance with section 88(1) (s 317(1)(da)(ii) of the Act); and
 - (b) (by a majority) that he had conducted himself or herself in a manner that brings, or is likely to bring, the regime under this Act for licensed building practitioners into disrepute (s 317(1)(i) of the Act).
- 1.2 The Respondent is a Licensed Building Practitioner with a Carpentry and Site Area of Practice 2 Licences issued 19 June 2012.
- 1.3 The Board considered the complaint under the provisions of Part 4 of the Act, the Building Practitioners (Complaints and Disciplinary Procedures) Regulations 2008 (the Regulations) and the Board's Complaint Procedures.
- 1.4 The Board heard the complaint on 7 July 2015 in [omitted]. The Board Members present for the hearing were:

Chris Preston Deputy Chairman
Brian Nightingale Board Member
Mel Orange Board Member
Dianne Johnson Board Member

1.5 The Board's decision was issued on 31 August 2015. The Board invited the Respondent to make written submissions on the matter of possible disciplinary penalties and payment of costs.

1.6 The Board Secretariat received an email from the Respondent dated 14 September 2015. Whilst this did not specifically address penalty, costs or publication it did contain matters which pertain to mitigation and which the Board has taken into consideration. The Board also heard matters which pertained to mitigation at the hearing and has also taken those factors into consideration and has made the following decision.

2 Penalty

- 2.1 The grounds upon which a Licensed Building Practitioner may be disciplined are set out in s 317 of the Act. If one or more of the grounds in s 317 applies, then the Board may apply disciplinary penalties as set out in s 318 of the Actⁱ.
- 2.2 The Board is aware that the common understanding of the purposes of professional discipline is to uphold the integrity of the profession. Those purposes were recently reiterated by the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom:

"The primary purpose of professional disciplinary proceedings is not to punish, but to protect the public, to maintain the public confidence in the integrity of the profession and to uphold proper standards of behaviour."

- 2.3 The integrity of the Licensed Building Scheme also needs to be at a level where standards are upheld. It is fundamental that this occurs to ensure that the public is protected and confidence in the industry is maintained.
- 2.4 The Board notes that the Respondent has submitted that he has suffered a significant financial loss as a result of the complaint, which has impacted on his business and family. He also provided detail on his present financial and personal circumstances and the Board has taken these into consideration.
- 2.5 As regards the record of work, the Respondent has submitted that he was not asked for one. The Board notes that there was some confusion as regards the obligations in respect of records or work at the time, and whilst the requirement to provide one is not triggered by a request for one but by completion of restricted building work the Board has nevertheless taken this into account.
- 2.6 The Board considers a fine of \$2,000 would ordinarily be the appropriate penalty. However, taking into account the mitigation presented and the level of penalties the Board was imposing at the time of the disciplinary offence the Board has reduced this to \$1,000.

3 Costs

- 3.1 Under s 318(4) the Board may require the Respondent "to pay the costs and expenses of, and incidental to, the inquiry by the Board."
- 3.2 The Respondent defended the hearing and the findings of the Board are such that a contribution to the costs of its inquiry is appropriate. The High Court has held that 50% of total reasonable costs should be taken as a starting point in disciplinary proceedings and that the percentage can then be adjusted up or down having regard to the particular circumstances of each case. The judgement in *Cooray v The Preliminary Proceedings Committee* ² included the following:

_

¹ R v Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales [2011] UKSC 1, 19 January 2011.

² HC, Wellington, AP23/94, 14 September 1995

"It would appear from the cases before the Court that the Council in other decisions made by it has in a general way taken 50% of total reasonable costs as a guide to a reasonable order for costs and has in individual cases where it has considered it is justified gone beyond that figure. In other cases, where it has considered that such an order is not justified because of the circumstances of the case, and counsel has referred me to at least two cases where the practitioner pleaded guilty and lesser orders were made, the Council has made a downward adjustment."

- 3.3 The judgment in *Macdonald v Professional Conduct Committee*³ confirmed the approach taken in *Cooray*. This was further confirmed in a complaint to the Plumbers, Gasfitters and Drainlayers' Board, *Owen v Wynyard*⁴ where the judgment referred with approval to the passages from *Cooray* and *Macdonald* in upholding a 24% costs order made by the Board.
- 3.4 The Board notes that the Respondent has not been overly cooperative in regard to the Board's inquiry. This and the Respondent's financial position are appropriate matters to be considered in mitigation.
- 3.5 Under all the circumstances, the Board has somewhat reduced the order for costs and the sum of \$750 is considered to be a reasonable amount toward the costs of and incidental to the Board's inquiry.

4 Publication of Name

- 4.1 As a consequence of these decisions the Respondent's name and the disciplinary outcomes will be recorded in the public register maintained as part of the Licenced Building Practitioners' scheme as is required by the Act.
- 4.2 Having taken into account the circumstances of the case and the mitigation presented, the Board does not find it necessary to further publish the Respondent's name or to specifically identify him in other publications.

5 Board's Decision

5.1 For the reasons set out above, the Board directs that:

Penalty: Pursuant to s 318(1)(f) of the Building Act 2004, the

Respondent is ordered to pay a fine of \$1,000.

Costs: Pursuant to section 318(4) of the Act, the Respondent is

ordered to pay costs of \$750 (GST included) towards the costs

of, and incidental to, the inquiry of the Board.

Publication: The Registrar shall record the Board's action in the Register of

Licensed Building Practitioners in accordance with section

301(1)(iii) of the Act.

In terms of section 318(5) of the Act, there will not be action taken to publicly notify the Board's action, except for the note

in the register.

6 Right of Appeal

6.1 The Respondent has a right to appeal the Board decisions under s 330(2) of the Actⁱⁱ.

⁴ High Court, Auckland, CIV-2009-404-005245, 25 February 2010

³ HC, Auckland, CIV 2009-404-1516, 10 July 2009

Signed and dated this 6th day of October 2015

Chris Preston

Chris PrestonPresiding Member

Section 318 of the Act

- (1) In any case to which section 317 applies, the Board may
 - (a) do both of the following things:
 - (i) cancel the person's licensing, and direct the Registrar to remove the person's name from the register; and
 - (ii) order that the person may not apply to be relicensed before the expiry of a specified period:
 - (b) suspend the person's licensing for a period of no more than 12 months or until the person meets specified conditions relating to the licensing (but, in any case, not for a period of more than 12 months) and direct the Registrar to record the suspension in the register:
 - (c) restrict the type of building work or building inspection work that the person may carry out or supervise under the person's licensing class or classes and direct the Registrar to record the restriction in the register:
 - (d) order that the person be censured:
 - (e) order that the person undertake training specified in the order:
 - (f) order that the person pay a fine not exceeding \$10,000.
- (2) The Board may take only one type of action in subsection 1(a) to (d) in relation to a case, except that it may impose a fine under subsection (1)(f) in addition to taking the action under subsection (1)(b) or (d).
- (3) No fine may be imposed under subsection (1)(f) in relation to an act or omission that constitutes an offence for which the person has been convicted by a court.
- (4) In any case to which section 317 applies, the Board may order that the person must pay the costs and expenses of, and incidental to, the inquiry by the Board.
- (5) In addition to requiring the Registrar to notify in the register an action taken by the Board under this section, the Board may publicly notify the action in any other way it thinks fit."

Section 330 Right of appeal

(2) A person may appeal to a District Court against any decision of the Board—

(b) to take any action referred to in section 318.

Section 331 Time in which appeal must be brought

An appeal must be lodged—

- (a) within 20 working days after notice of the decision or action is communicated to the appellant; or
- (b) within any further time that the appeal authority allows on application made before or after the period expires.